

BIOVALENTIA: Biological Research Journal

Vol. 10 No. 1 (2024)

DOI: https://doi.org/10.24233/biov.10.1.2024.431

ISSN Online: 2477-1392

Pages: 24-33

Growth Evaluation of Backcross Progenies BC2F1 Submergence and Nonsubmergence at BC₁F₁ and its Parents

Winggi Anggun Jati¹, Rujito Agus Suwignyo^{2*}, Susilawati³, and Muhardiyanto Cahya²

E-mail address: rujito@unsri.ac.id (Rujito Agus Suwignyo).

Peer review under responsibility of Biology Department Sriwijaya University

Abstract: The utilization of dual-resistant varieties that are resistant to soaking and drought in certain periods is an alternative to rice cultivation in swampy land. Inpara 8 is a variety that is confirmed to have SUB 1 gene, resistant to submerged stress and Inpago 5 is identified to be resistant to drought stress. Crosses were made between Inpara 8 (donor parent) with Inpago 5 (recipient parent), resulting in F1, then F1 was crossed back with Inpago 5 and produced BC1F1, then crossed back with Inpago 5 to become BC2F1. This paper contains an evaluation of the growth of BC2F1, which in the BC1F1 stage experienced submerged stress in the vegetative phase and which did not experience submerged stress. The research was carried out in August 2021 - January 2022 at the Greenhouse of the Department of Agricultural Cultivation, Faculty of Agriculture, Sriwijaya University. The results showed that in the vegetative phase, progeny BC2F1 TR has an average value of the highest per plant (113.64 cm) and the average per plant height Inpara 8 (3.93). In the generative phase, the fastest flowering time on the accession of BC2F1 TR (68 days), the fastest harvest time on Inpara 8 (122.93 days), while for the amount of grain per panicle, the amount of grain per clump, and dry weight on Inpago 5 (529.60 g, 2648 g, and 11.62 g). The average yield of BC2F1 progeny is more similar to Inpago 5 as the recipient parent. Both progeny have the same Sub 1 gene in them and can survive to produce, but Accessions that have experienced previous submerged stress are more vulnerable to growth so they have an impact on the resulting production.

Keywords: Paddy, Tolerance, Submergence, Drought, Swampy land.

Received: 17 May 2024, Accepted: 25 may 2024, Publish: 28 May 2024

INTRODUCTION

Cultivation of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) in lowland swamp land is an alternative land use that is less than optimal amidst the large amount of fertile land that has been converted into non-agricultural land. Massive land change has an impact on food security, this has an impact on decreasing rice production. According to the Central Bureau of Statistics (2022), there was a decrease in planting land in Indonesia by 8,242,354 hectares in 2017

and continued to decrease until 2020, this led to the unproductive use of paddy fields (Central Bureau of Statistics, 2022).

Agriculture is closely related to climate change, because the agricultural sector is very dependent on and very vulnerable to climate change, so farmers' knowledge is very necessary in dealing with climate change (Nuraisah and Rani, 2019). The changing and erratic climate causes changes in rain patterns, the duration of the rainy season, and shifts in the monsoon. Swamp

¹Department of Crop Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sriwijaya, Jl. Padang Selasa No.524, Bukit Besar, Palembang 30139, South Sumatera, Indonesia.

²Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agricuture, Universitas Sriwijaya, Jl. Raya Palembang-Prabumulih Km.32, Indralaya, Ogan Ilir 30662, South Sumatera, Indonesia

³Department of Agroecotechnology, Faculty of Agricuture, Universitas Sriwijaya, Jl. Raya Palembang-Prabumulih Km.32, Indralaya, Ogan Ilir 30662, South Sumatera, Indonesia

^{*}Corresponding author

land will be flooded in certain periods and its water regime depends on rainfall, upstream flood overflow, and from underground (Effendi, Zainal and Bambang, 2014). The high and low levels of standing water in lowland swamps influence the determination of the type of plants to be planted, especially rice (Pujiharti, 2017). Farmers in the swamp land will experience two phases of stress, the submerged stress in the vegetative phase and drought stress in the generation phase. This will cause disruption and inhibition of plant growth and development plant which then have an impact on their productivity. Therefore, plant technology that can adapt to environmental conditions is needed, one of which is the use of dual-resistant varieties that are resistant to soaking and drought in certain periods.

Inpara 8 is a variety that is confirmed to have Sub 1 genes. Plants that have Sub 1 genes can stimulate various metabolic processes so that they can face submerged stress conditions (Jung et al., 2010 in Gusmiatun et al., 2015). Gen Sub 1 is important for plant resilience when in submerged stress conditions, and can improve the ability of plants to avoid water loss after experiencing stress and after lack of water during drought (Fukao et al., 2011; Gusmiatun et al., 2015). Many plants change anatomically and morphologically because they adapt to submerged stress conditions. There are two morphological mechanisms for plants when experiencing stress, namely the formation of aerenchyma tissue in the roots and leaves, and controlled elongation of the stem so that the plant does not fall when the flooding ends (Gribaldi et al., 2014). While Inpago 5 is a variety that has a character tolerant to drought stress in the generative phase and has a high-yield production when cultivated in lowland swamps (Suwignyo et al., 2021).

Crossing is an activity to combine desired genetic traits, increase and utilize genetic diversity, and continue with selection and evaluation of the results (Miranda et al., 2021). The cross between Inpara 8 (recipient parent) and Inpago 5 (donor parent) has been done and produces F1, selection and further cross (F1 (♂) with Inpago 5 (\bigcirc) produces BC1F1. BC1F1 (\bigcirc) was backcrossed with Inpago 5 (\mathcal{P}) and produced BC2F1. The study reported in this paper aims to evaluate the accession growth of BC2F1 which was previously given submerged and non-submerged stress treatment at the BC1F1 stage and its parent elders Inpago 5 and Inpara 8.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The research was conducted at the greenhouse, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Sriwijaya from August 2021 to January 2022.

The materials used are plants BC2F1 T (submerged), BC2F1 TR (not submerged), Inpago 5, and Inpara 8, planted using randomized block design (RBD), repeated as many as 3 groups with 15 plants in each repeat. The seeds are pre-soaked in water for 24 hours, further, the seeds were sown in trays for 14 days. The seedlings were transplanted to prepared media, the media used was a 5 kg bucket containing swampy soil from Pemulutan District, Ogan Ilir Regency, South Sumatra.

Plant maintenance carried out was embroidery, watering, and fertilization. Harvesting was carried out at the age of the plant \pm 135 - 145 days after planting (30 – 35 days after flowering). Harvest is characterized by 90-95% yellow grain, flag leaves are drying, and grain loss of 16-30% (Agency for Agricultural Research and Development, 2013). Irrigation of the bucket was carried out in the morning according to the

specified water content. Then fertilization was carried out by applying Urea, SP-36, and KCL fertilizer to each planting media.

Observation parameters were plant height, number of tillers, day of flowering,

Data analysis using ANOVA (Analysis of Variance). The results will then be continued using the LSD Test (The least significant difference test) at the 5% level.

RESULTS

Agronomy Character of BC2F1 T, BC2F1 TR, Inpago 5, dan Inpara 8

Table 1 presents data on calculated F values and diversity coefficients of the populations studied, namely BC2F1 submerged (T), BC2F1 not submerged (TR), Inpago 5, and Inpara 8. Plant height at each accession is known to differ significantly in the first measurement (14 DAT) and the second (28 DAT) with a value of 6.97 and 5.00. Then in the next observation (42 DAT, 56 DAT, 70 DAT, and 84 DAT) plant height was not significantly different on each accession. In the parameters of the total number of tillers, the observation of 14 DAT, 28 DAT, and 42 DAT is not significantly different in each of its Accessions. As for the next observation 56 DAT each accession was significantly different and at 70 DAT and 84 DAT was very significantly different. Productive tillers, flowering time and the amount of grain per panicle have very significantly different values, the amount of grain per clump has significantly different, while for the time of harvest, the percentage of empty grain, biomass weight, and the weight of 1000 grains of grain have no significantly different value at each accession.

Plant height and number of tillers

Table 2 presents plant height data in populations of submerged BC2F1 (T), non-

day of harvesting, number of total grains per panicle, number of total grains per clump, percentage of sterile spikelets, biomass dryweight and weight of 1000 grains.

submerged BC2F1 (TR), Inpago 5, and Inpara 8. The result showed that in the observation of 14 DAT, 28 DAT, 42 DAT, and 56 DAT plants that had the highest plant BC2F1 T (45.89 cm, 74.32 cm, 111.15 cm, and 135.03 cm), while for subsequent observations of 70 DAT and 84 DAT that have the highest plant is Inpago 5 (155.95 cm and 167.06 cm). Figure 1 shows that the overall average plant height is at BC2F1 TR (113.64 cm).

Table 1. Calculated F value and coefficient of diversity (KK) of rice plant growth in population BC2F1 T and TR, Inpago 5 and Inpara 8

Parameters	F val	ue	KK (%)
	Treatment	Group	
Plant height			
14 DAT	6,97*	0,59 ns	4,68
28 DAT	5,00*	4,43 ns	3,28
42 DAT	2,67 ns	0.36^{ns}	5,34
56 DAT	1,31 ns	1,03 ns	6,05
70 DAT	3,65 ns	$0,42^{\mathrm{ns}}$	5,40
84 DAT	2,86 ns	0,44 ns	6,12
Number of tillers			
14 DAT	1 ^{ns}	1 ^{ns}	5,62
28 DAT	3,09 ns	0,40 ns	15,49
42 DAT	2,32 ns	$0.03^{\text{ ns}}$	15,77
56 DAT	$9,14^{*}$	$2,90^{\mathrm{ns}}$	10,04
70 DAT	10,65**	3,52 ns	10,31
84 DAT	23,75**	12,62**	6,04
Number of productive tillers	$15,10^{**}$	1,74 ns	10,47
Day of flowering	9,96**	$0.03^{\text{ ns}}$	2,97
Day of harvesting	0,80 ns	1,23 ns	9,32
Number of total grains per pani-			
cle	14,74**	$7,85^{*}$	14,03
Number of total grains per clump	$6,70^{*}$	2,93 ns	20,65
Percentage of sterile spikelets	4,61 ns	3,02 ns	15,00
Biomass dry weight	1,82 ns	$0,68^{\mathrm{ns}}$	23,62
Weight of 1000 grains	2,20 ns	1,17 ns	22,76

Table 3 shows that overall, the average productivity of tillers that have very significantly different is Inpara 8 (4.27), the fastest flowering time in BC2F1 TR (68 days), the fastest harvest time in Inpara 8

(122.93 days), the highest grain total per panicle and per clump in Inpago 5 (529.60 and 2648 grains), weight 1000 grains in

BC2F1 TR (27 g) and biomass dry weight of high agility in Inpago 5 (11.62 g).

Table 2. Plants height and the number of tillers of BC₂F₁ submerged (T), BC₂F₁ not submerged (TR), Inpago 5, and Inpara 8

Parameters	Population	n 14 DAT	28 DAT	42 DAT	56 DAT	70 DAT	84 DAT
	BC ₂ F ₁ T	44,75 ± 1,45 ab	70,01 ± 3,95 ab	105,64 ± 6,20 abc	127,67 ± 14,01 ab	49,40 ± 12,56 ab	156,14 ± 16,35 ab
Plant height	BC ₂ F ₁ TR	45,89 ± 1,54 bc	74,32 ± 3,64 abc	111,15 ± 4,48 bcd	135,03 ± 3,32 bdc	54,76 ± 2,56 bc	160,69 ± 4,31 bc
(cm)	Inpago 5	39,50 ± 0,31 a	67,23 ± 0,22 a	102,9 ± 6,113 a	131,31 ± 5,23 abc	$55,95 \pm 5,38 \text{ bcd}$	167,06 ± 3,17 bcc
(cm)	Inpara 8	40,87 3,14± cd	69,34 ± 3,28 cd	98,76 ± 2,94 a	122,96 ± 3,36 a	136,56 ± 5,10 a	144,79 ± 4,73 a
	BNT _{5%}	4,00	4,61	11,15	15,61	16,08	19,22
	BC ₂ F ₁ T	1 ± 0,00 a	1,47 ± 0,12 ab	1,73 ± 0,23 a	2,07 ± 0,42 a	2,40 ± 0,53 a	2,40 ± 0,53 a
	BC ₂ F ₁ TR	1 ± 0,00 a	$1,73 \pm 0,42$ abc	1,93 ± 0,42 ab	2,40 ± 0,20 ab	$2,80 \pm 0,29$ ab	3,47 ± 0,46 b
Number of tillers	Inpago 5	1 ± 0,00 a	$1,80 \pm 0,00 \text{ bcd}$	$2,40 \pm 0,20$ bcd	3,13 ± 0,23 d	$3,67 \pm 0,31$ cd	$3,73 \pm 0,23$ bc
	Inpara 8	$1,07 \pm 0,12$ ab	1,27 ± 0,12 a	$2,00 \pm 0,20$ abc	$2,60 \pm 0,35$ bc	3,53 ± 0,50 c	$3,93 \pm 0,61$ bcd
	BNT _{5%}	0,12	0,48	0,64	0,51	0,64	0,48

Table 3. Agronomy character parameters of vegetative and generative phase of BC2F1 submerged (T), BC2F1 not submerged (TR), Inpago 5, and Inpara 8

70,13 ± 0,81 ab	124,67 ± 6,11 ab	231,47 ±	1157,33 ±	50.07		
0,81 ab	ab		1107,00	$59,87 \pm$	$17,35 \pm$	$8,39 \pm$
		88,74 a	443,71 a	16,17 abc	6,04 a	3,59 ab
68,00	128,63 ± 4,32	473,82 ±	2224,67 ±	47,99 ±	17,00 ± 8,15	10,85 ±
±2,42 a	abcd	117,48 bc	703,89 bc	10,96 a	abcd	1,94 abc
72,50 ±	126,07± 3,40	529,60 ±	2648 ± 569,09	$50,42 \pm 2,73$	3 !4,11 ± 1,18	11,62 ±
2,29 bc	abc	113,82 cd	bcd	ab	abc	1,20 abcd
77,07 ±	$122,93 \pm 4,96$	465,32 ±	2152,67 ±	$71,52 \pm 7,76$	$6.9,87 \pm 0,91$	8,01 ±
1,42 d	a	62,84 b	205,2 b	cd	ab	1,14 a
	9,32	119,12	843,89	17,22	10,04	4,58
	4,27	4,27 9,32	4,27 9,32 119,12	4,27 9,32 119,12 843,89	4,27 9,32 119,12 843,89 17,22	4,27 9,32 119,12 843,89 17,22 10,04

Note: PL = Number of productive Tiller, DAF = Day of flowering (days), DH = Day of harvesting, TGP = Number of total grains per panicle, TGC = number of total grains per clump, PS = percentage of sterile spikelets (%), WG = Weight of 1000 grains of grain, BDW = Biomass dry weight


Correlation between growth characteristic variables in BC₂F₁, Inpago 5 and Inpara 8

Table 4 shows the correlation between growth characteristics variables BC_2F_1 submerged (T), productive tiller parameters have a very significantly different positive effect on flowering parameters and a real positive effect on the number of grains per panicle and number of grains per clump. Then the parameter number of total grains per panicle positively affects to the parameter number of total grains per clump.

Table 5 shows the correlation between growth characteristic variables BC₂F₁ non-submerged (TR). The results showed that the number of productive tiller parameters had a very significantly negative effect on plant height, while for other parameters there was no significant effect.

Table 6 shows the correlation between the growth characteristic variable Inpago 5, day of flowering parameter has a very significant positive effect on the parameter of biomass dry weight, and the parameter number of total grain per panicle has a very real positive effect on the parameter number of total grain per clump.

Table 7 shows the correlation analysis between the growth characteristics variable Inpara 8. The results showed that the parameters of productive tillers significantly affect the parameters of day after flowering, and the parameter number of total grain per clump has a very significant effect on the parameters of biomass dry weight.






Figure 1. Plant height (A) and number of tillers (B) BC₂F₁ submerged (T), BC₂F₁ non-submerged (TR), Inpago 5, and Inpara 8

Table 4. Results of correlation analysis between variables of growth characteristics BC₂F₁ submerged (T)

	РН	PL	DAF	DH	TGP	TGC	PS	BDW
PH	1							
PL	0,965	1						
DAF	0,965	1,000**	1					
DH	0,998*	0,945	0,945	1				
TGP	0,947	0,998*	0,998*	0,922	1			
TGC	0,947	0,998*	0,998*	0,922	1,000**	1		
PS	-0,831	-0,947	-0,947	-0,790	-0,966	-0,966	1	
BDW	0,876	0,971	0,971	0,840	0,984	0,984	-0,996	1

Note: PH = Plant height, PL = Number of productive tiller, DAF =
Day of flowering, DH = Day of harvesting, TGP
= Number of total grains per panicle, TGC =
Number of total grains per clump, PS = Percentage of sterile spikelets, BDW= Biomass dry weight.

Table 5. Results of correlation analysis between growth characteristics variable BC₂F₁ non submerged (TR)

	PH	PL	DAF	DH	TGP	TGC	PS	$^{BD}_{W}$
PH	1							
PL	1,000**	1						
DAF	0,619	0,614	1					
DH	-0,950	0,948	0,833	1				
TGP	-0,898	0,895	0,902	0,990	1			
TGC	-0,994	0,993	0,702	0,979	0,941	1		
PS	-0,100	0,107	0,719	0,215	0,348	0,009	1	
BD W	-0,993	0,993	0,519	0,905	0,837	0,973	0,22 1	1

 $\begin{aligned} \text{Note: PH = Plant height, PL = Number of productive tiller, DAF =} \\ \text{Day of flowering, DH = Day of harvesting, TGP} \\ \text{= Number of total grains per panicle, TGC =} \\ \text{Number of total grains per clump, PS = Percentage of sterile spikelets} , BDW= Biomass dry weight.} \end{aligned}$

Table 6. Results of correlation analysis between growth characteristics variable Inpago 5

	РН	PL	DAF	DH	TGP	TGC	PS	BD W
РН	1							
PL	0,417	1						
DAF	0,964	0,645	1					
DH	0,692	0,945	-0,860	1				
TGP	0,224	0,979	0,477	0,859	1			
TGC	0,224	0,979	0,477	0,859	1,000*	1		
PS	0,713	0,340	-0,500	0,013	0,523	0,523	1	
BD W	0,966	0,638	1,000*	0,855	-0,469	0,469	0,50 7	1

 $\begin{aligned} \text{Note}: PH &= \text{Plant height, PL} = \text{Number of productive tiller, DAF} = \\ &\quad \text{Day of flowering, DH} = \text{Day of harvesting, TGP} \\ &= \text{Number of total grains per panicle, TGC} = \\ &\quad \text{Number of total grains per clump, PS} = \text{Percentage of sterile spikelets} \;\;,\;\; \text{BDW} = \;\; \text{Biomass dry weight.} \end{aligned}$

Table 7. Results of correlation analysis between growth characteristics variable Inpara 8

	PH	PL	DAF	DH	TGP	TGC	PS	$\frac{BD}{W}$
PH	1							
	-							
PL	0,845	1						
	-	1,000						
DAF	0,830	*	1					
		_	_					
DH	0,421	0,841	0,855	1				
		_	-					
TGP	0,991	0,764	0,747	0,293	1			
	-,	-,	.,	-,	0,38			
TGC	0,510	0,891	0,903	0,995	9	1		
100	0,510	0,071	0,703	0,773	0,16	•		
PS	0,024	0,515	0,537	0,897	0,10	-0,847	1	
	0,024	0,313	0,337	0,057			1	
BD		-	-		0,38	1,000°	-	
W	0,511	0,892	0,903	0,995	9	*	0,847	1

Note: PH = Plant height, PL = Number of productive tiller, DAF =
Day of flowering, DH = Day of harvesting, TGP
= Number of total grains per panicle, TGC =
Number of total grains per clump, PS = Percentage of sterile spikelets, BDW= Biomass dry weight.

DISCUSSION

Growth evaluation was conducted on plants BC₂F₁ submerged (T), BC₂F₁ non submerged (TR), Inpago 5, and Inpara 8. Obtained differences from the parameters of plant height, day of flowering, day of harvesting, the number of total grains per panicle, the number of total grains per clump, percentage of sterile spikelets, weight of 1000 grains of grain, and biomass dry weight. Genetics expressed in various plant traits include plant form and function which produces diversity (Afdila, Ezward, and A. Haitami, 2021). Different genetic compositions cause variations in plant appearance, these differences can always occur even from the same type of plant (Oktaviani, Lizah and Nyimas, 2020). A fairly difficult problem is how far a character is influenced by genetic factors and how

far it is caused by the environment (Saleh, 2015).

Figure 1 shows that the plant BC₂F₁ TR is the highest plant, while Inpago 5 and BC₂F₁ T have almost the same value. Waterlogging or soaking stress can cause stunted plant growth and development, but the impact of momentary flooding is much greater (Yullianida et al., 2015). Inpara 8 and Inpago 5, which are the parent parents, have more number of tillers than BC2F1 T and BC2F1 TR. The low number of tillers can be caused by genetic factors and the plant's environment when growing. According to Husna (2010) in Yulina, Chairil, and A., (2021), plants that have good genetic characteristics in supportive and appropriate environmental conditions can maximize the number of tillers. Soaking when the plant enters the seedling formation phase causes stunted growth, especially for plant height, number of leaves, and level of greenness of leaves (Sumardi, the Mohammad, and Rafi, 2022).

Accession BC₂F₁ T which has experienced submerged stress has a day of flowering that is slightly faster than accession BC₂F₁ TR, followed by Inpago 5 and Inpara 8. As for the day of harvesting faster Inpara 8 and followed by BC₂F₁ T, Inpago 5, and BC₂F₁ TR. These differences indicate that all accessions have no significant effect on the day of flowering and the day of harvesting. Plant flowering is a transition from the vegetative to the generative phase, the influence of which can come from internal and external factors (Survani, Adriani, and Sumarsono, 2020). Genetic factors that are more dominant will affect the day of flowering and day of harvesting than the environment, it again depends on the activity of genes in the plant so that it can control the flowering time until the day of harvesting (Yulina, Chairil, and A., 2021).

The result parameter biomass dry weight parameter of BC₂F₁ TR and Inpago 5 (Table 3) is related to the parameter of the number of tillers (Figure 1), that the higher the number of tillers, the higher biomass dry weight. BC2F1 T and Inpara 8 plants had lower values for biomass dry weight and number of tillers. Then for the percentage of sterile spikelets (Table 3) the highest values were for Inpara 8 and BC2F1 T plants. The results were negatively correlated with the percentage parameters percentage of sterile spikelets (Table 4). Nitrogen uptake decreases in flooded conditions, mainly because the lower roots in saturated soil die, so the root surface area decreases. Decreased plant nitrogen uptake will reduce plant growth which can be seen in the low biomass produced (Ahadiyat, Muhammad, and Surya, 2023).

The lower percentage of sterile spikelet, the higher number of total grains per panicle, the number of grains per clump, and the weight of 1000 grains of grain. Related to the previous parameter, the largest number of tillers will produce many panicles, so that the grain produced is also higher. According to Darso et al. (2016) in Riyandi, Erida, and Cut (2018), a high percentage of pithy-containing grain is affected by the amount of grain available, while the number of unproduced karyopsis and low flour content in the flowering period affect the amount of sterile spikelet. Then the weight of 1000 grains of grain is influenced by the size of the grain and the level of its moisture. Varieties with many tillers certainly have the potential to produce highly productive panicles and grain produced is also high. The larger the grain size, the greater the weight of the grain produced, and conversely, a small grain size will produce a lighter grain weight (Afa et al., 2021).

Overall, the yield parameters of the BC2F1 T accession were lower than BC2F1 TR. The values of these two accessions had results that were closer to the parent Inpago 5 (recipient parent) than Inpara 8 (donor parent). The consequences that influence this can come from various factors, in the previous examination stage which resulted in a change in the physiological condition of the plant from aerobic to anaerobic. The minimal availability of O2 and CO2 gas in the air triggers a decrease in the rate of photosynthesis and anaerobic respiration, this is then followed by an increase in anaerobic protein (Ito et al., 1999 in Ikhwani 2013). Carbohydrate content is an important factor in plant tolerance in submerged conditions, which is closely related to the plant's ability to survive submerged conditions. This soaking causes inhibition of plant growth and development, thereby impacting yields. Inundation is an abiotic stress that can determine the success or failure of crop yields based on the frequency and extent of inundation (Chaniago, 2019).

gene in it. Both plants both can survive and produce, but the progeny BC₂F₁ T is more vulnerable in growth and yield. Accession of BC₂F₁ has the value of the parameters of plant height, number of tillers, day of flowering, number of total grains per panicle, number of total grains per clump and the weight of 1000 grains are superior to BC₂F₁ T. Both Accessions had parameter results closer to Inpago 5 (recipient parent) than to Inpara 8 (donor parent)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank and appreciate the anonymous review and editors of this journal for their meaningful comments and suggestions.

CONCLUSION

Both Accessions had the Sub 1 gene because the previous one came from a cross between Inpago 5 (donor parent) and Inpara 8 (recipient parent) that had gen the Sub 1

REFERENCES

- [1] Afa, L., Suaib, Ilan, U., Arsy, A. A., and Maisura, 2021. Yield and yield components correlation of some local upland rice cultivars (*Oryza sativa* L.) in uland and wetland cultivation systems. Agrium Journal. P-ISSN 1820-9288. 3-ISSN 2655-1837.
- [2] Afdila, D., Chairil, E., A. Haitami., 2021. Plant height character, harvest age, number of children, and harvest weight in 12 local rice genotypes of singing quantity. Agro science journal. Volume 6, Number 1, April 2021.
- [3] Ahadiyat, Y. R., Muhammad, R., Surya, N. A., 2023. The waterlogging stress and application of N, P, K fertilizers on growth and yield of foxtail millet (Setaria italica L.) in inceptisols. Journal of Agriculture 2023, 34 (2): 284-292. ISSN 0853-2885.
- [4] Chaniago, N, 2019. Potential of biotic and abiotic stress resistance genes in local Indonesian rice: A Review. Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 7: 86–93.
- [5] Central Bureau Of Statistics. (2022). Catalogue: 1101001. Statistics Indonesia 2020, 1101001, 790. Retrieved from
 - https://www.bps.go.id/publication/20 20/04/29/e9011b3155d45d70823c141 f/statistik-indonesia-2020.html.
- [6] Effendi, D. S., Abidin, Z., Prastowo, B., 2014. The acceleration Model of agricultural development of Valley-based swamp land is innovation-based. Development of agricultural innova-

- tion Vol. 7 No. December 4, 2014 : 177 186.
- [7] Fukao, T. dan Serres, J. L., 2008. Submergence tolerance conferred by Sub 1 A is mediated by SLR1 and SLRL1 restricition o gibberellin responses in rice. www.pnas.org/cgi/doi10.1073/pnas.0807 821105.
- [8] Gusmiatun, Rujito, A. S., Andi, W., and Mery, H., 2015. Increased soaking tolerance of rice swamp Valley with sub 1 gene 1 introgression . J. Agron. Indonesia 43 (2): 99 104 (2015).
- [9] Gribaldi, Rujito. S., Merry. H., and Renih, H., 2014. The effect of fertilization on morphophysiological changes of two rice varieties under submergence stress. J. Agron. Indonesia 42 (1): 17 23 (2014)
- [10] Ikhwani, 2013. Resistance of soaktolerant rice varieties and their response to fertilization. Junral lahan suboptimal. ISSN: 2252-6188 (Print), ISSN: 2302-3015 (Online, www.jlsuboptimal.unsri.ac.id). Vol. 2, No.1: 1-13, April 2013.
- [11] Miranda F.S., Jaenudin K., Lina B., Destieka A., 2021. Genetic Diversity Estimation Based On Yield Components Of Some Rice Lines (Oryza sativa L.). J. Agroscript, 3(11): 1-10.
- [12] Nuraisah, G., Rani. A. B. K., 2019. Impact of climate change on paddy farming in Wanguk village Anjatan subdistrict Indramayu district. Agribusiness Pulpit. Journal of thought from the Scientific Society with an Agribusiness Insight. January 2019. 5(1).
- [13] Oktaviani, W., Liza, K., and Nyimas, P. I., 2020. Effect of various varieties of sweet corn (Zea mays saccharate Sturt) on plant height, number of

- leaves and lignin content of corn. Juttip Journal of Tropical Livestock Nutrition and feed Science. DOI; 10.24198/juttip.v2i2.27568. Jurnal.unpad.ac.id/juttip; e-ISSN:2715-7636. 2 (2): 60-70, June 2020.
- [14] Pujiarti, Y., 2017. Opportunity to increase rice production in fresh water swampy land in Lampung. Journal of agricultural R&D Vol. 36 No. June 1 2017: 13 20.
- [15] Riyandi, M. A., Erida, N., and Cut, N. I., 2018. The effect of variety and drought on the percentage of colored pollen and rice yield (Oryza sativa L.). Journal Of Agrista Vol. 22 No. 3, December 2018.
- [16] Suwignyo, R. A., Irmawati, I., Hose, F., Aulia, S. L., 2021. Development of rice varieties adaptive to nontidal swampland using MABC: Growth Characteristic of Parent Plant and F1 result. IOP conference series: Earth and Environmental Science 741 (2021) 012022. Doi: 10.1088/17551315/741/1/012022
- [17] Saleh, Z., 2015. Genetic Variability Agricultural performance of ten genotypes waxy corn (Zea mays L.). J. Agrotan 1 (2): 81 93, September 2015, ISSN: 2442-9015.
- [18] Sumardi, Mohammad, C., and Rafi, A., 2022. Response of harapan padi rawa strains to submerged stress on early FSE formation of saplings. Jurnal Agroqua Volume 20 No. 1 year 2022. DOI: 10.32663 /ja.v%vi%i.2574.
- [19] Suryani, R. S., Andriani, D. S., and Sumarsono., 2020. Growth and production of tomatoes (Lycopersicum esculentum) as affected by various types of organic fertilizer and rice

- husk mulch dosage. NICHE Journal of Tropical Biology 2020; 3 (1): 18-25.
- Yulina, N., Chairil, E., and A. [20] Haitami., 2021. Character of crop height, age of harvest, number of tillers and weight of harvest in 14 local rice genotypes. Junal Agrosains and technology. Vol. 6 No. June 1, 2021.p-ISSN 2528-0201 e-ISSN 2528-3278.
- [21] Yullianida, Sintho, W. A., Suwarno, dan Hajrial, A., 2015. Response and

productivity of swamp rice to stagnant soaking wetland for development in lebak swamp land. J.. Indonesia 43 (1) : 15 - 22 (2015).